The Complexity of Speedrunning Video Games Manuel Lafond U of Ottawa/U of Sherbrooke

- No time to play video games anymore...
- => Just watch people play then.

- No time to play video games anymore...
- => Just watch people play then.
- Sounds boring?

- No time to play video games anymore...
- => Just watch people play then.
- Sounds boring?
- => Speedrunning makes it interesting.

(at least for me)





THANK YOU MARIO!

YOUR QUEST IS OVER.

WE PRESENT YOU A NEW QUEST.

PUSH BUTTON B TO SELECT A WORLD



- **<u>Goal</u>**: finish a game as fast as possible.
- Very *competitive* field, but also very *collaborative*.
- Standard speedrunning techniques developed over the years.
 - In this talk:
 - Part 1: damage boosting
 - Part 2: routing stages
- Lead to algorithmic problems.
 - Damage boosting => generalization of knapsack
 - Routing stages => generalization of feedback arc set

(incorporation of multimedia content!)

Some related work

- For many games *played by speedrunners*, it is NP-hard/PSPACE-hard to decide if the game can be completed AT ALL...
 - Lemmings [Cormore, 2004]
 - Super Mario Bros, Donkey Kong Country, Zelda [Aloupis & al., 2015]
 - Many, many more: meta-theorems of [Viglietta, 2014]
- *Mario Kart problem*: can a given course be finished in k seconds? [Bosboom & al., 2015]

Some related work

- Speedrunners often measure time gained w.r.t « normal play ».
 - e.g. save 40 seconds by damage-boosting on the bat.
- In this work, a game is a set or a sequence of time-gaining events (opportunities to gain time that can be taken or not).
 - Goal: maximize total time-gain on these events.
 - This formulation avoids problem of **unfinishable games**.
 - Approximation algorithms
 - Fixed-parameter tractability

Damage boosting















Note: this is the knapsack problem.

Maximize time gains without spending more than max HP = Maximize value of items while staying under maximum weight.



Chicken events





The Damage Boosting problem

- **<u>Given</u>**: a sequence of events $S = ((h_1, t_1), ..., (h_k, t_k))$ and starting hit points *hp*.
 - h_i is the HP lost and t_i the time gained if event (h_i, t_i) is taken.
 - Both values are negative for chicken events.
- Find: a subsequence S' of S of events to take such that
 - The player *hp* is always strictly above 0.
 - The sum of time gains is maximized.

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & &$$

The Damage Boosting problem

- **<u>Given</u>**: a sequence of events $S = ((h_1, t_1), ..., (h_k, t_k))$ and starting hit points *hp*.
 - h_i is the HP lost and t_i the time gained if event (h_i, t_i) is taken.
 - Both values are negative for chicken events.
- Find: a subsequence S' of S of events to take such that
 - The player *hp* is always strictly above 0.
 - The sum of time gains is maximized.

0

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

A PTAS for damage boosting

- The polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS) for knapsack still works with minor modifications.
 - Pseudo-polynomial **dynamic programming** algorithm running in time $O(n^2T)$ n = number of events T = max time gain
 - Scale the time gains by $\epsilon T/n$, run the DP algorithm, get a solution of value at least (1ϵ) OPT.



- In practice, the h_i 's should not take too many possible values:
 - Each enemy does a fixed amount of damage, and a game usually has few enemy types.
- Knapsack is FPT in the number of distinct weight values present in the input.
 - If k possible weights, can be solved in time O(2^{2.5klog k} poly(n)).



• **Question**: if *k* is the number of possible damage values, is damage boosting FPT in *k* ?



- **Question**: if *k* is the number of possible damage values, is damage boosting FPT in *k* ?
 - Answer: I don't know...
 - FPT in **c** + **k**, where c is the number of chicken events.
 - $O(2c(2k(c+1) + c)^{2.5(2k(c+1) + c)}poly(n))$ algorithm.
 - Involves ILP with O(c + k) variables, using results of [Lokshtanov, 2009]





Also in the paper

- Damage boosting with multiple lives.
- Allow HP to drop to 0.
 - Lose a life = respawn at last checkpoint with full HP
 - Limited number of lives L
 - Maximum time gain is hard to approximate within factor $\frac{1}{2}$
 - Pseudo-polynomial time algorithm O(n² (maxHP)² L).



Routing stages

Routing





YOU GOT MAGNET MISSILE



Routing



- <u>Given</u>: a set of stages $S = \{S_1, ..., S_k\}$ in which the time to complete S_i depends on the weapons acquired from the stages completed before.
- **<u>Find</u>**: a completion order of *S* that maximizes time gain.



- Below: a stage is just a boss.
- Find a max-weight acyclic sub-digraph of indegree at most 1.
 - Gives ordering + which weapons beats which boss.



- Below: a stage is just a boss.
- Find a max-weight acyclic sub-digraph of indegree at most 1.
 - Gives ordering + which weapons beats which boss.
 - Called an **arborescence**, found in time O(|A| + n log n) [Gabov & al., 1986].



- Below: a stage is just a boss.
- Find a max-weight acyclic sub-digraph of indegree at most 1.
 - Gives ordering + which weapons beats which boss.
 - Called an **arborescence**, found in time O(|A| + n log n) [Gabov & al., 1986].
- But a stage has many events, each with different time gains.



Have Magnet Weapon Save 10 secs



Have Rush Jet Save 20 secs



• A stage is a set of events, each with different possible gains.

EVENT 1 If S_2 cleared, save 10s If S_3 cleared, save 5s If S_4 cleared, save 12s

STAGE $S_1 =$

EVENT 2 If S_3 cleared, save 8s If S_4 cleared, save 8s If S_5 cleared, save 2s EVENT 3 If S_3 cleared, save 4s If S_5 cleared, save 8s

• A stage is a set of events, each with different possible gains.





• A stage is a set of events, each with different possible gains.



• **Stage graph** = collapse all events from the same stage.



Graph theoretic formulation

- <u>Given</u>: a directed graph with event vertices of out-degree 0, and stage vertices of in-degree 0.
- Find: a maximum-weight sub-digraph of in-degree at most 1 such that the stage graph is acyclic.



Graph theoretic formulation

- <u>Given</u>: a directed graph with event vertices of out-degree 0, and stage vertices of in-degree 0.
- Find: a maximum-weight sub-digraph of in-degree at most 1 such that the stage graph is acyclic.



Graph theoretic formulation

- <u>Given</u>: a directed graph with event vertices of out-degree 0, and stage vertices of in-degree 0.
- Find: a maximum-weight sub-digraph of in-degree at most 1 such that the stage graph is acyclic.



- NP-hard if stages have at most 3 events of in-degree 1 and stages have out-degree at most 2.
 - Follows from results on feedback arc set.

- NP-hard if stages have at most 3 events of in-degree 1 and stages have out-degree at most 2.
 - Follows from results on feedback arc set.
- Approximability
 - Maximizing time gain admits a trivial ¹/₂-approximation: try any ordering of S. This or its reverse gains time at least ¹/₂ OPT.
 - Minimizing the time gains not taken is harder:
 - Hard to approximate within a ratio better than O(log n), even if the stage graph is a tree and only one stage has more than 1 event.

- Fixed-parameter tractability.
 - W[2]-hard in the minimum time gains not taken.
 - Cannot be FPT in the **in-degree** or **out-degree** of stage graph.
 - Cannot be FPT in the treewidth of the stage graph (both unless P=NP).

- Fixed-parameter tractability.
 - W[2]-hard in the minimum time gains not taken.
 - Cannot be FPT in the **in-degree** or **out-degree** of stage graph.
 - Cannot be FPT in the treewidth of the stage graph (both unless P=NP).
 - FPT in d + t, where d = maximum in-degree and t = treewidth
 - Use a tree decomposition *T* with dynamic programming.
 - Main idea: at each bag X of T, try every ordering of $N^{-}[X]$
 - Simple DP algorithm yields O((dt)! poly(n)) algorithm.
 - Can be improved to $O(2^{t(d \log d)} + d \operatorname{poly}(n))$.

Conclusion

- A new framework to treat video games as optimization problems.
- Open problems:
 - FPT status of damage boosting with chicken events.
 - Approximability of damage boosting with lives.
 - Good algorithms for routing stages?
- Other speedrunning mechanics.

Conclusion

- A new framework to treat video games as optimization problems.
- Open problems:
 - FPT status of damage boosting with chicken events.
 - Approximability of damage boosting with lives.
 - Good algorithms for routing stages?
- Other speedrunning mechanics:
 - Random number generation manipulation
 - Optimizing experience in role-playing games (e.g. Final Fantasy)

- Dying also refills health!
 - (it only costs you your life)



- Death edges.
 - Can only be taken if hp < 0 after taking event.
 - Restart at last *checkpoint event*.
 - Refill health to 100%.
 - Incur a time penalty p_i .
 - Limited number *L* of lives (can die at most *L 1* times).

- Death edges.
 - Can only be taken if hp < 0 after taking event.
 - Restart at last *checkpoint event*.
 - Refill health to 100%.
 - Incur a time penalty p_i .
 - Limited number *L* of lives (can die at most *L 1* times).

- Hard to approximate within a ratio $\frac{1}{2}$, even when L = 2.
- If player has *L* lives, can approximate within a factor 1/L ε.
 - Trivial algorithm: do optimal with one life using PTAS.
- Can be solved in pseudo-polynomial time O(n² (maxHP)² L).

