ORTHOLOGY RELATION AND GENE TREE CORRECTION: COMPLEXITY RESULTS

Manuel Lafond, Nadia El-Mabrouk University of Montreal

• Two classes of problems :

- Orthology / paralogy relation correction
- Gene tree correction (based on orthology / paralogy)

• Four problems, all NP-Complete

Orthology / paralogy correction

Makes no sense (we'll see why)

Makes sense



Gene tree correction



 a_1 and c_1 should be orthologs. Please do something about it...

- Gene trees reflect the evolutionary history of a family of homologous genes
 - Genes that all descend from a common ancestor



a,b,c,d are species

 Ancestral genes may have undergone speciation or duplication



Orthologs : LCA has undergone speciation Paralogs : LCA has undergone duplication

(LCA = Lowest Common Ancestor)

Orthologs : LCA has undergone speciation Paralogs : LCA has undergone duplication

```
(LCA = Lowest
Common
Ancestor)
```

For instance, according to G:

 a_1 , b_1 are paralogs a_1 , c_1 are orthologs



S-Consistency : If genes from species sets X,Y are separated by speciation in G, then species X, Y are separated in the **species tree** S.



S-Consistency : If genes from species sets X,Y are separated by speciation in G, then species X, Y are separated in the **species tree** S.



S-Consistency : If genes from species sets X,Y are separated by speciation in G, then species X, Y are separated in the **species tree** S.



NOT S-CONSISTENT

S-Consistency : If genes from species sets X,Y are separated by speciation in G, then species X, Y are separated in the **species tree** S.



NOT S-CONSISTENT

Magic orthology / paralogy machine



Magic orthology / paralogy machine



Sequence-based : COG, OrthoMCL, InParanoid, Proteinortho, ... Gene order/synteny-based : GIGA, SYNERGY, ...



Is there some **gene tree** and **Dup/Spec** labeling that displays these relationships ?























С







I JUST CAN'T ! THESE DON'T MAKE SENSE !



\sim Orthologs = (a,d) (c, d) \sim Paralogs = (a, b) (a, c) (b, c) (b, d)

Gene tree G

?





\sim Orthologs = (a,d) (c, d) \sim Paralogs = (a, b) (a, c) (b, c) (b, d)







а



\sim Orthologs = (a,d) (c, d) \sim Paralogs = (a, b) (a, c) (b, c) (b, d)





\checkmark Orthologs = (a,b) (a, d) (b, c) (c, d) \bigcirc Paralogs = (a, c) (b, d)

Gene tree G

?





\checkmark Orthologs = (a,b) (a, d) (b, c) (c, d) \bigcirc Paralogs = (a, c) (b, d)

Gene tree G









\checkmark Orthologs = (a,b) (a, d) (b, c) (c, d) \bigcirc Paralogs = (a, c) (b, d)



Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ?

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ? Is there **some gene tree** that displays them ?

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ? Is there **some gene tree** that displays them ? (not always)

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ? Is there **some gene tree** that displays them ? (not always) Out of these, is there one that **agrees with our species tree** ?

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ? Is there **some gene tree** that displays them ? (not always) Out of these, is there one that **agrees with our species tree** ? (not always)
The point is

Some people / software infer orthology/paralogy relations.

Do they make any sense ? Is there **some gene tree** that displays them ? (not always) Out of these, is there one that **agrees with our species tree** ? (not always)

If they don't make sense, what should we do about it ?

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are satisfiable + S-Consistent

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are satisfiable + S-Consistent



Orthologs = (a,b) (a, c) (c, d)Paralogs = (a, d) (b, c) (b, d)

Relation graph R



Orthologs = (a,b) (a, c) (c, d)Paralogs = (a, d) (b, c) (b, d)



(Hernandez-Rosales & al., 2012) The relations are **satisfiable** iff R_0 is P_4 -free (no **induced path** of length 4)



Orthologs

NOT SATISFIABLE : No gene tree displays these relations



Makes no sense

Makes sense



Orthologs

Paralogs

Makes no sense

Makes sense



Paralogs

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable** + S-Consistent

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable** + S-Consistent

Equivalently : find the minimum number of edge insertions / deletions in R_0 such that it is P_4 -free.

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable** + S-Consistent

Equivalently : find the minimum number of edge insertions / deletions in R_0 such that it is P_4 -free.

NP-COMPLETE (EI-Mallah and Colbourn, 1988)

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable + S-Consistent**

What about S-Consistency ???



Does R_o lead to an S-Consistent gene tree ?









The (satisfiable) relations are **S-Consistent** iff each P_3 of R_0 represents a triplet that agrees with S.

The (satisfiable) relations are **S-Consistent** iff each P_3 of R_0 represents a triplet that agrees with S.

Checking for S-Consistency is easy. Just find every P_3 and check them, in $O(n^3)$. But how to correct for S-Consistency ?

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable + S-Consistent**

Equivalently : find the minimum number of edge insertions / deletions in R_0 such that it is P_4 -free + every P_3 agrees with S.

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of relations to change in R such that the relations are **satisfiable + S-Consistent**

Equivalently : find the minimum number of edge insertions / deletions in R_0 such that it is P_4 -free + every P_3 agrees with S.

NP-COMPLETE (Lafond and El-Mabrouk, 2015)

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of genes to remove such that the relations are satisfiable + S-Consistent





Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of genes to remove such that the relations are satisfiable + S-Consistent

NP-COMPLETE (Lafond and El-Mabrouk, 2015)

Given : a species tree S and a set of orthology / paralogy relations R.

Find : the minimum number of genes to remove such that the relations are satisfiable + S-Consistent

NP-COMPLETE (Lafond and El-Mabrouk, 2015)

WORSE ! Hard to approximate within a $n^{1-\epsilon}$ factor (R.Dondi, unpublished).

Allright then...

So we can't correct inferred relations.

Still, let's say there's a bunch of them that I trust.

Can I use them to validate / correct my gene tree ?













Intact 1 : minimize the number of broken relations w.r.t G.



Intact 1 : minimize the number of broken relations w.r.t G. For instance, here we broke the (b_1, c_1) paralogy.



Intact 1 : minimize the number of broken relations w.r.t G. For instance, here we broke the (b_1, c_1) paralogy.

Intact 2 : minimize the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G'.



Intact 1 : minimize the number of broken relations w.r.t G. For instance, here we broke the (b_1, c_1) paralogy.

Intact 2 : minimize the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G'. The number of clades that G and G' do not have in common.

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the number of orthologs/paralogs in G but not in G' is minimized.
Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the number of orthologs/paralogs in G but not in G' is minimized.

NP-COMPLETE (Lafond and El-Mabrouk, 2015)

Reduction from the edge insertion/deletion problem (Problem 1)

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G' is minimized.

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G' is minimized.

If R has only orthologs, or only paralogs, then this is feasible in polynomial time (Lafond & al. 2013).

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G' is minimized.

If R has both orthologs and paralogs, then...

Given : a species tree S, a gene tree G, and a partial set R of orthologs and paralogs to satisfy.

Find : an S-Consistent gene tree G' that satisfies the relations of R such that...

...the Robinson-Foulds distance between G and G' is minimized.

If R has both orthologs and paralogs, then... Surprise ! NP-COMPLETE (Lafond and El-Mabrouk, 2015) Reduction from the gene removal problem (Problem 2)

More problems

The inferred relations could be **weighted**, e.g. associated with a **probability** of orthology.

A more general problem : edge insertion / deletion where each operation has a **distinct cost**.

More problems

The inferred relations could be **weighted**, e.g. associated with a **probability** of orthology.

A more general problem : edge insertion / deletion where each operation has a **distinct cost**.

 Generalizes both Problem 1 (edge insertion / deletion) and Problem 3 (gene tree correction, minimize broken relations).

More problems

The inferred relations could be **weighted**, e.g. associated with a **probability** of orthology.

A more general problem : edge insertion / deletion where each operation has a **distinct cost**.

- Generalizes both Problem 1 (edge insertion / deletion) and Problem 3 (gene tree correction, minimize broken relations).
- Factor O(n) approximation algorithm (unpublished). We do not know whether it is essentially best possible.

Conclusion

- Gene tree => Orthologs / paralogs : YES
- Orthologs / paralogs => Gene tree : NOT ALWAYS
- Unfortunately, error correction of orthology / paralogy relations is difficult.
- Even assuming relations are error-free, gene tree coorrection is difficult.